

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of) **MAIL STOP AMENDMENT**
)
Christophe Martin et al.) Group Art Unit: 2164
)
Application No.: 10/583,476) Examiner: Daniel A. Kuddus
)
Filed: June 19, 2006) Confirmation No.: 3680
)
For: METHOD FOR BACKING UP)
PERSONAL DATA OF A)
TELECOMMUNICATIONS)
NETWORK SUBSCRIBER,)
SERVER AND ASSOCIATED)
DEVICE)

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants thank Examiners Kuen Lu and Daniel Kuddus for conducting a telephone interview on October 6, 2009 in the subject patent application. Applicants requested the interview to clarify various outstanding issues in an attempt to reach a mutual understanding between the Examiner and Applicant's representative and in an effort to advance the prosecution of the subject Application. MPEP § 713.01(III). In particular, Applicants requested that the Examiner explain how the disclosure of *Ludwig* is being interpreted to conclude that Applicants' claims are anticipated.

During the interview, Examiner Kuddus referred to the citations provided in the Final Rejection dated July 9, 2009, but did not elucidate further. As such, the interview did little to provide the Applicant any insight into the Examiner's interpretation of the applied art and its application to the pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

Date: November 16, 2009

By: /Shawn B. Cage/

Shawn B. Cage
Registration No. 51522

Customer No. 21839

703 836 6620

Customer Support Department

Customer Support Department